



Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific
Asia-Pacific Forum on Sustainable Development

Pattaya, Thailand, 19-21 May 2014

Chair's summary of the inaugural meeting of the Asia-Pacific Forum on Sustainable Development***Overview**

1. The inaugural Asia-Pacific Forum on Sustainable Development (APFSD) was organized by the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) and hosted by the Government of Thailand in Pattaya, Thailand, from 19 to 21 May 2014. The Forum benefitted from the success of the Asia-Pacific Ministerial Dialogue: From the Millennium Development Goals to the United Nations Development Agenda beyond 2015, held in Bangkok, from 26 to 28 August 2013.

2. The Forum was co-chaired by H.E. Mr. Bektas Mukhametjanov, Vice Minister of Environment and Water Resources (Kazakhstan), and Mrs. Kanchana Patarachoke, Deputy Director-General of the Department of International Organizations, Ministry of Foreign Affairs (Thailand), and attended by more than 260 participants, including representatives of Governments, major groups and other stakeholder organizations and entities within the United Nations system.

3. The meeting was attended by representatives of the following ESCAP members: Armenia; Australia; Azerbaijan, Bangladesh; Bhutan; Cambodia; China; Fiji; India; Indonesia; Iran (Islamic Republic of); Japan; Kazakhstan; Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic; Malaysia; Mongolia; Myanmar; Nauru, Nepal; Pakistan, Philippines; Republic of Korea; Russian Federation; Singapore; Sri Lanka; Tajikistan, Thailand; Turkey; Uzbekistan; Vanuatu; and Viet Nam.

4. Over the course of the three-day meeting, participants discussed Asia-Pacific perspectives on sustainable development, including regional priorities and challenges. As part of the Forum, a preparatory session for the 2014 Annual Ministerial Review of the Economic and Social Council was organized to provide the region's perspectives on the theme "Addressing ongoing and emerging challenges for meeting the Millennium Development Goals in 2015 and for sustaining development gains in the future". A summary of the session is attached as annex I.

* This document has been issued without formal editing.

5. In the five other sessions held over the three days, the following issues were discussed: integration and transformation for sustainable development; shared prosperity within planetary boundaries; means of implementation, including financing, technology and global partnerships; the way forward: shaping an effective and dynamic regional process for sustainable development; and the rule of law in the development agenda beyond 2015. Formal statements were also delivered by delegates. The report of the discussions during the three-day meeting is attached as annex II. A preparatory event, the “Asia-Pacific Civil Society Forum on Sustainable Development”, was also organized by ESCAP in collaboration with Asia Pacific Forum on Women, Law and Development, in Bangkok, from 15 to 17 May 2014.

6. Participants agreed that the Chair’s Summary be brought to the attention of the meeting of the high-level political forum on sustainable development in New York in July 2014, as an input to its deliberations at the global level.

The need for regional transformations

7. Participants called for enhanced regional political commitment and leadership. They recommended that the APFSD platform provide an important high-level mechanism for the Asia-Pacific region in realizing sustainable development and in bringing forward regional and national voices and perspectives to global efforts.

8. Through their deliberations, participants at the Asia-Pacific Forum made specific recommendations for the attention of the regional and international community, including the high-level political forum on sustainable development.

The Asia-Pacific Forum identified several overarching priorities and concerns for the development agenda beyond 2015

9. Participants generally agreed, among other things, on the seven priority areas identified in the note by the secretariat, entitled “Fostering sustainable development in Asia and the Pacific”, namely: eradicating poverty and narrowing inequality; pursuing sustained and inclusive economic growth; gender equality and women’s empowerment; building resilience to multiple shocks; responding to population dynamics and urbanization; enhancing resource efficiency and natural resource management; deepening regional integration and connectivity while recognizing the sustainable development priorities of the ESCAP subregions and reflecting critical issues related to the means of implementation inclusive of financing, science, technology and innovation, trade and capacity-building. Participants called for a strengthened global partnership for a transformation towards sustainable development.

10. At the Asia-Pacific Forum, it was emphasized that economic growth had resulted in significant gains for the region, and it was stressed that the development agenda beyond 2015 must create conditions for robust, sustained and inclusive economic growth in developing countries. However, there was increasing recognition of the limitations of current models of development. To realize development aspirations, emphasis needed to be placed on inclusive, balanced and sustained growth, while respecting planetary boundaries.

11. Participants recognized the urgent need for integrated approaches that would lead to a more sustainable and inclusive development paradigm and synergize the three dimensions of sustainable development. Strategies for implementation identified by member States included: building a low-carbon

society; resource productivity; food security through sustainable agriculture; education for sustainable development; integrated water resources management, resilience and green economy in the context of poverty eradication and sustainable development, including strategies to address inequality. Civil society particularly highlighted addressing ecological sustainability, and that universal health coverage should address sexual and reproductive health rights without discrimination of any kind.

12. Participants called for a final push for achievement of the Millennium Development Goals, especially with regard to poverty eradication, which must remain the central and overarching objective of the development agenda beyond 2015. Participants acknowledged both the achievements and shortcomings in progress in several areas. In that regard, the global importance attached to such issues as access to sustainable modern energy services, provision of universal access to safe and affordable drinking water, sanitation and hygiene, especially for women and girls, was re-emphasized, among others.

13. Several other social development priorities of the development agenda beyond 2015 were noted. Those included: addressing inequalities in income, opportunity and power; tackling the root causes of violence against women; integrating youth into the labour market and facilitating the school-to-work transition; improving food security through sustainable agriculture; promoting integrated resources management; managing migration to ensure that it becomes an enabler of sustainable development; implementing nationally appropriate social protection measures and providing decent work for all; ensuring universal access to public services, especially to good-quality education; universal health coverage; skill development to foster decent jobs and economic opportunities; and the need for responsive and accountable institutions that enable all people to organize and participate in civic and political life.

14. Many participants emphasized the need for the development agenda beyond 2015 to adhere to the principles of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development. At the global level, several delegations emphasized the application of the principle of common but differentiated responsibility and its application to all or many aspects of the development agenda beyond 2015. At the same time, the view was also expressed that the principle should be applied only to global environmental issues.

Regional priorities calling for special attention

15. It was highlighted at the Asia-Pacific Forum that there was a need for greater emphasis on disaster risk reduction and preparedness in the development agenda beyond 2015. Building resilience to natural disasters was agreed as an urgent regional priority to avoid reversal of development gains. It was underscored that disaster risk reduction and preparedness was not being addressed sufficiently in the ongoing processes of the Open Working Group of the General Assembly on Sustainable Development Goals. The meeting was of the view that that issue should be included in the development agenda beyond 2015.

16. Delegates emphasized that climate change, with detrimental impacts, particularly on small island States and other climate-vulnerable countries in Asia and the Pacific, had emerged as a new challenge for the region, requiring priority attention in the development agenda beyond 2015.

17. Delegates further highlighted the importance of sustainable consumption and production as a cross-cutting issue, and strong support was

expressed for the implementation of the 10-year framework of programmes on sustainable consumption and production patterns as a global cooperation framework, with developed countries taking the lead.

18. In considering the strong linkage between migration and development in the Asia-Pacific region, greater attention also needed to be given to the needs of migrant workers and their families.

19. It was emphasized at the Asia-Pacific Forum that regional economic integration was critical to accelerating economic growth since it would help reduce poverty and economic disparities, and thus was instrumental in the achievement of sustainable development. It was noted that the main challenges in deepening regional integration were weak connectivity, both hard and soft connectivity, including regulatory barriers to the movement of goods, services, people and capital. Efforts should be targeted on how to improve connectivity for deeper regional integration in the most economically, environmentally and socially inclusive way.

20. Affordable access to information and communications technology (ICT) was recognized as an important driver for achieving sustainable development goals, and regional and international forms of cooperation were highlighted as crucial aspects of enhancing connectivity. It was also recognized that Asia and the Pacific comprised the most digitally divided region in the world, and that there was a need to address the digital divide within the development agenda beyond 2015 process. Small island developing States called for support for ICT and databases for enhancing their institutional capacities.

21. The importance of transport development in the region was highlighted. There was a suggestion to consider transport issues as a stand-alone cluster in forging the list of sustainable development goals. Also, special attention should be given to promoting road safety in the region. Access to energy was mentioned as one of the crucial issues in achieving sustainable development in the region. It was emphasized that there was a need to accord priority to energy in the development agenda beyond 2015 and in sustainable development goals. It was recommended that substantial attention be given to regional infrastructure development and sustainable industrialization.

22. The meeting recognized health as a vital element of future sustainable development goals and a priority for the region. In that context, there was a call for stronger emphasis on combating non-communicable diseases.

23. The issue of respect for the rule of law was considered by a number of participants as a prerequisite for success in achieving sustainable development in the region. Certain delegations did not support broad interpretation of the concept of sustainable development in a way that included elements, such as the rule of law, peace and security, and human security, as individual concepts or in the form of a so-called fourth dimension of sustainable development.

24. The requirements of groups with specific needs, including women and girls, older persons, youth and persons with disabilities, and, as highlighted by civil society, of farmers, fisherfolk, migrants and indigenous peoples, should be given greater priority in the development agenda beyond 2015. Civil society advocated a stand-alone sustainable development goal for reducing inequality and equality targets across all focus areas and means of implementation.

Means of implementation

25. Participants emphasized the importance of a strengthened global partnership for development and adequate means of implementation to enable developing countries to implement the development agenda beyond 2015. Participants called for a stronger emphasis on science, technology and innovation and innovative mobilization of resources. Means of implementation should be equally devoted to all three dimensions of sustainable development, and progress should be quantifiable.

26. The means of implementation should match the ambition and comprehensive scope of the sustainable development goals, and be backed by effective accountability and monitoring frameworks.

27. It was also emphasized by the Asia-Pacific Forum that effective national governance was critically important in realizing implementation of the development agenda beyond 2015. The importance of civil registration and vital statistics systems for planning development strategies and monitoring development outcomes was underscored by a number of delegations. Universal civil registration was recognized by certain delegations as a critical means for all people to have a legal identity and as such to be able to participate actively in economic and political activities, and access essential services.

28. Delegates recognized that trade, technology and finance were essential means of implementation of sustainable development goals. In that regard, a rule-based and equitable multilateral trading system that ensured effective market access of products and services was critical. The need for an early conclusion of the Doha Development Round was underscored.

29. It was emphasized by the Asia-Pacific Forum that the private sector was a critical contributor, and the active engagement of the private sector was important in all efforts to achieve sustainable development.

30. It was further emphasized that the development agenda beyond 2015 should be supported by new and additional development financing. That could be realized through increasing new, predictable and additional resources generated from and leveraged through innovative systems. Strategic forms of development cooperation and partnership should be institutionalized. Broadening the depth and reach of financial systems was critical for improved access and inclusion, reducing social inequality and efficiency. Institutional investor funds for meeting long-term financing requirements of infrastructure and climate change mitigation and adaptation were viewed as critical. While some delegations focused on new and innovative mechanisms, including domestic resource mobilization, the importance of continued and enhanced official development assistance (ODA) to support developing countries was emphasized, and there was a strong call for developed countries to urgently fulfil their ODA commitments.

31. Reform of global governance was highlighted, including giving real voice and participation to developing countries. Some highlighted that global partnerships should be equitable, inclusive with mutual accountability and fair sharing of responsibilities. Developed countries should undertake concrete and measurable obligations, including supporting the efforts of developing countries with enhanced financial and technological assistance.

32. The development agenda beyond 2015 should be universal and focused on integration of the three dimensions of sustainable development, adopt a rights-based approach and be people-centred. Development

approaches that were unique to the region were recognized, including the “sufficiency economy philosophy” and the pursuit of happiness.

33. The establishment of measurable goals and targets that captured the multidimensional nature of sustainable development was underlined as a key challenge, as were the efforts needed to monitor progress towards related targets. Timely and reliable disaggregated statistics were a prerequisite for evidence-based decision-making and accountability of public institutions, including disaggregated data for monitoring inequality and relative gains for women, low-income households and other marginalized groups. Delegates stressed the need to strengthen capacities of national statistical systems and take advantage of the opportunities presented by the data revolution for strengthened accountability and a strong monitoring framework.

Regional strategies and actions for development agenda beyond 2015

34. The participants in the Asia-Pacific Forum deliberated on the future modality and role of APFSD in supporting the development agenda beyond 2015. Among the views expressed were that APFSD should be ambitious but pragmatic, and facilitate political commitment and ownership of the process. It should: provide a regional voice on common priorities; share experiences among countries; engage stakeholders; promote integration of the three dimensions of sustainable development, solidarity and universality and a stronger science-policy interface; function as a mechanism for ensuring accountability and sharing of best practices; and the delivery of means of implementation to developing countries.

35. The Asia-Pacific Forum should also support the development of a regional road map, subsequent to the finalization of the sustainable development goals and the development agenda beyond 2015. Such a road map could include means of implementation, stakeholders’ roles and partnerships, and link national, subregional, regional and global processes and commitments. A road map should also be developed through an intergovernmental process with possible inputs by all other stakeholders, with the final decision by member States.

36. The Asia-Pacific Forum recommended the following actions in relation to sustainable development and the regional process for the high-level political forum on sustainable development, subject to further intergovernmental consultations, as appropriate:

(a) APFSD should seek guidance and be in synchronization with the mandate outlined in General Assembly resolution 67/290 on the high-level political forum;

(b) The establishment of centres of excellence for sharing knowledge and information;

(c) The facilitation of subregional consultations and processes to support regional deliberations and eventual implementation of the development agenda beyond 2015;

ESCAP encouraged to position itself to deal with means of implementation

(d) Establishment of a new committee on science, technology and innovation and a committee on financing for development;

(e) Lead in assessing progress in implementation of sustainable development goals, taking into account subregional realities and

collaborating with the United Nations system for monitoring, evaluating and reporting;

(f) Facilitate the establishment of a new knowledge platform, inclusive of United Nations agencies, academia and key stakeholders;

(g) Further support regional cooperation and integration, and provide coherent policy advice. That would include evaluation of the barriers to achievement of the sustainable development goals;

For future regional process regarding the high-level political forum

(h) Future sessions of APFSD beyond 2015 might benefit from ESCAP collating the annual national reporting on sustainable development progress. In that regard, small island developing States called for a regional report that would synthesize national sustainable development plans to ensure coherence and consistency of sustainable development frameworks;

(i) APFSD should be arranged in consideration of the most effective modality, which might include a stand-alone or back-to-back meeting with Commission sessions. In that regard, consultations with subregional groupings should be a regular feature of APFSD, and such consultations should take into account the work of subregional organizations;

(j) The future architecture of APFSD, including its mandate, scope of work and other procedural aspects of the Forum, should be determined through an intergovernmental consultative process, within the broad framework of General Assembly resolution 67/290;

(k) Stakeholders might contribute to the work of APFSD, while the Forum should keep its intergovernmental character, as in the high-level political forum;

(l) Interpretation during APFSD for the official United Nations languages used by ESCAP was also requested;

Civil society recommendations

(m) On monitoring and assessment, proposals included: multi-stakeholder regional and subregional level peer review mechanisms to evaluate performance on sustainable development goals; special rapporteurs on key sustainable development issues; and accountability and reporting mechanisms for all stakeholders involved in financing sustainable development, including United Nations agencies, international financial and trade institutions, transnational corporations and the private sector;

(n) To facilitate and institutionalize civil society participation in development processes, members of the high-level political forum and the Asia-Pacific Regional Coordination Mechanism, and Governments should: recognize civil society's capacity to contribute to constructive development; ensure that civil society engaged as partners with equal rights in policy development, implementation, monitoring and accountability in all regional and global mechanisms, including APFSD and the high-level political forum on sustainable development; and institutionalize civil society participation in sustainable development processes through a regional civil society engagement mechanism designed by civil society.

37. The participants expressed their sincere gratitude to the Government of Thailand for its generosity and warm hospitality in successfully hosting the inaugural session of the Asia-Pacific Forum on Sustainable Development, including furnishing generous support for holding of events for civil society organizations during the Forum.

Annex I

Preparatory session for the 2014 Annual Ministerial Review of the Economic and Social Council: Addressing on-going and emerging challenges for meeting the Millennium Development Goals in 2015 and for sustaining development gains in the future

1. The preparatory session for the 2014 Annual Ministerial Review (AMR) of the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) on the theme “Addressing on-going and emerging challenges for meeting the Millennium Development Goals in 2015 and for sustaining gains in the future” was organized as a high-level panel discussion to provide perspectives from the Asia-Pacific region, particularly from the region’s least developed countries, landlocked developing countries and small island developing States (SIDS). Mr. Navid Hanif, Director of the Office for ECOSOC Support and Coordination, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, served as moderator of the panel. The panel discussed policies and institutional arrangements that have helped to accelerate progress towards the MDGs in countries of the region; on-going and emerging challenges in meeting the MDGs and sustaining development gains; and recommendations for practical approaches to sustaining development gains in a future development agenda.

2. Mrs. Kanchana Patarachoke, Deputy Director-General of the Department of International Organizations, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Thailand, pointed out that there had been continuous political commitment in Thailand to achieve the MDGs. Despite meeting many of the MDGs, as well as prospects for achieving several targets of the MDGs Plus, the country still faces challenges. She noted the persistent inequality of access and opportunities across geographical regions; emerging patterns of obesity while malnutrition persists in remote areas; increased rate of teenage pregnancy; and the need to improve energy efficiency and address environmental challenges. Key strategies that have helped Thailand to accelerate progress towards the MDGs were highlighted, including: investment in human capital and people-centred development guided by His Majesty King Bhumibol Adulyadej’s Sufficiency Economy Philosophy (SEP); multi-stakeholder engagement, including the recognition of the key role played by the private sector and CSOs in promoting development; and investment in the future through programmes such as the universal health coverage scheme. Also emphasized was the importance of South-South cooperation in which Thailand has been actively engaged through the Thailand International Development Cooperation Agency (TICA).

3. H.E. Mr. Som Lal Subedi, Member Secretary, National Planning Commission, Nepal, emphasized the importance of political stability and absence of conflict for pursuing the MDGs. He noted that the MDGs were integrated into Nepal’s planning framework and the country could reach many of the goals by 2015. However, key challenges remained, including: low private investment and job generation; harnessing remittances for development; food security; reducing barriers for accessing education; and reducing infant mortality. Emerging challenges such as climate change may threaten development gains, and rapid urbanization may put additional pressure on the provision of access to clean water and basic sanitation.

4. H.E. Mr. Vahram Kazhoyan, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Director of the Department of International Organizations, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Armenia, emphasized that the MDGs and sustainability concerns have to converge for accelerating development gains post-2015. He noted that, in Armenia, the government, the United Nations

and civil society had adapted the MDGs to the country's context, which enhanced national ownership over the MDGs and facilitated their integration in the main national development policies and strategies. Yet, the global financial crisis, the influx of refugee families from neighboring conflicts, and structural challenges related to being a landlocked country with limited access to international trade and transport routes had hampered progress towards the MDGs.

5. Mr. Samuel Grundler, Director of Aid Management, Ministry of Finance, Nauru, noted that smallness and isolation of Pacific Island developing states resulted in high costs in transport, service delivery and production, as well as vulnerability to economic and environmental risks. As a consequence, the majority of these countries would not reach many of the MDGs by 2015. There was an emerging consensus that Pacific small island states would be severely affected by climate change. Social inclusion was a key priority for the sub-region, especially in terms of addressing income inequality, gender inequality, and dealing with health challenges, in particular non-communicable diseases. The Third International Conference on Small Island Developing States, to be convened in Samoa in September 2014, will address the ways to sustain efforts towards sustainable development, including stronger partnerships for development, tailoring internationally agreed development goals to national contexts, and better monitoring and reporting.

6. During the open discussion, consensus emerged that fundamental reasons for slow progress in a number of countries towards achieving some of the MDGs included poor cross-sectoral coordination, low awareness of sustainability issues, and weak governance. Development cooperation and the MDG 8 were also discussed and several participants were of the view that if poorer countries had received the support promised by development partners, then greater progress could have been made. Others argued that most of the global agenda was under-funded, *or* had not been funded, and that global and trans-boundary issues required international financial coordination and the commitment of development partners. Both Government and civil society representatives supported the view that the private sector had an important role to play in achieving the MDGs and in formulating and implementing the SDGs and, that therefore, there should be targeted policies and fiscal incentives to direct private investment towards supporting sustainable growth and corporate social and environmental accountability. Participants also highlighted the need for fair trade, the importance of aid for trade, and the advantages of rule-based multilateral trading systems to advance global and regional trade. Also highlighted was the need to develop a coherent framework that could provide an interface between the science of sustainability and policy making, as well as the need to promote resource efficiency and the decoupling of growth from resource use and pollution. Participants also emphasized the importance of regional economic cooperation and integration in the context of South-South cooperation to mobilize investment, to enhance productive capacity and trade, to provision regional public goods, and to address shared vulnerability. These were issues of vital importance for LDCs and LLDCs.

7. The following key messages emerged from the high-level panel discussion as regional inputs to the 2014 AMR:

- The Asia-Pacific region had made great progress towards achieving the MDGs, particularly in reducing the proportion of people living in poverty, but progress had been uneven within and across countries.

- Even in countries that had experienced progress in achieving the MDGs, the levels of disparities and deprivation remained very high. There would be a significant “unfinished agenda” after the target date of 2015.
- Persistent inequalities in the region suggest that market-led growth alone is not enough to achieve sustainable development. Governments were encouraged to take steps to: strengthen human rights, the rule of law, open and accountable institutions, and social protection; create decent and productive work for all; promote non-discriminatory political, legal and socio-cultural norms based on gender, ethnicity, race, and language; and prevent over-exploitation of natural resources.
- In terms of setting regional priorities for sustainable development for the post-2015 development agenda, it was emphasized that universal access to quality education and health services should be a priority for the Asian and Pacific region, particularly in the context of reducing poverty and inequalities. Disaster risk reduction was also a common and critical challenge of the region, which should be adequately addressed in the post-2015 development agenda.
- Countries of the region also face many emerging challenges including youth unemployment and low job creation, rapid urbanization, and access to clean water and basic sanitation. Climate change and environmental pressures such as pollution and water scarcity also need to be adequately addressed in the post-2015 development agenda.
- Political commitment, national ownership, capacity building, political stability and absence of conflict, integrated policy and cross-sectoral approaches were considered instrumental factors to accelerate progress towards the MDGs and provided lessons for the post-2015 agenda.
- Development cooperation is essential, but it should go beyond ODA and include facilitating more intra- and inter-regional trade, building regional infrastructure, facilitating mutually beneficial flows of labour, technology transfer, and promoting regional flows of investments.
- Regional economic cooperation and integration, with special support measures for LDCs and LLDCs, should be a critical element in South-South cooperation towards sustainable development.
- The private sector is a critical component of the sustainable development framework and should therefore be actively engaged in all efforts to achieve sustainable development.
- Also essential was the societal shift of consumption patterns and production structures towards a more sustainable path of development.

Annex II

Report on discussions at the Asia-Pacific Forum on Sustainable Development

1. Welcome remarks were made by Ms. Shamshad Akhtar, Under-Secretary-General of the United Nations and Executive Secretary of ESCAP and an opening statement was delivered by H.E. Mr. Sihasak Phuangkitkeow, Permanent Secretary for the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Thailand. A video message from Mr. Jan Eliasson, Deputy Secretary-General of the United Nations was also delivered.

2. In her opening statement Ms. Akhtar noted that the meeting provided an opportunity to define regional priorities and build consensus in order to shape and implement the global development agenda beyond 2015. This involved continuity in building upon regional MDG achievements, as well as agreement on future commitments in the form of the SDGs. Ms. Akhtar further emphasized that the regional process must engage all stakeholders in order to ensure strong ownership.

3. Ms. Akhtar noted the role of ESCAP and the United Nations system in supporting the advancement of the post-2015 development agenda process, and the formulation of the SDGs. The Executive Secretary reiterated that sustainable development was at the heart of the ESCAP mandate. In the formation of the SDGs, Ms Akhtar called for both a comprehensive and a flexible approach, as it is important to capture subregional perspectives and priorities at the same time as supporting regional and global commitments. A clearer discussion was required on shared accountability and monitoring mechanisms, including stewardship on means of implementation (MOI) for development cooperation which balanced the three dimensions of sustainable development.

4. In his opening statement, H.E. Mr. Sihasak Phuangkitkeow recalled the Royal Thai Government's initiative to jointly organize with ESCAP the Asia-Pacific Ministerial Dialogue: From the Millennium Development Goals to the United Nations Development Agenda beyond 2015 (APMD) in Bangkok from 26-28 August, 2013. The Permanent Secretary expressed hope that, built upon the APMD, outcomes of the inaugural Asia-Pacific Forum on Sustainable Development would contribute substantively to the High-level Political Forum (HLPF) on Sustainable Development and advance the global process to formulate the post-2015 development agenda and the sustainable development goals. H.E. Mr. Sihasak Phuangkitkeow called for a redoubling of efforts to achieve the MDGs, and outlined emerging challenges faced by Asia and the Pacific, including rising inequality, unplanned urbanization, youth unemployment, aging societies, climate change, environmental degradation as well as food energy and water security. H.E. Mr. Sihasak Phuangkitkeow highlighted key areas that deserve to be among Asia-Pacific priorities for the post-2015 development agenda including: poverty eradication; inequality; disaster risk reduction and preparedness; better access to quality health care; universal health coverage (UHC); greater regional integration and connectivity; and promotion of regional cooperation frameworks such as ASEAN. The Permanent Secretary emphasized the importance of means of implementation including science, technology and innovation (STI), addressing the digital divide, innovative means to mobilize financial resources and strengthening global partnership for sustainable development and that ESCAP could play a constructive role in assisting member States to gain better access to these means of implementation. H.E. Mr. Sihasak Phuangkitkeow also emphasized that the post-2015

development agenda must take into account the special needs and interests of developing countries, especially LDCs, LLDCs and SIDS. The advancement of civil liberty, individual freedom, democracy and the full realization of all human rights and fundamental freedoms were noted as essential for sustainable development, and this interrelationship should be considered in the post-2015 development agenda.

5. In his address to the meeting Mr. Jan Eliasson, Deputy Secretary-General of the United Nations, noted that the success of the SDGs and post-2015 development agenda would depend greatly on the effectiveness of regional processes. Mr. Eliasson emphasized that a strong framework for sustainable development in the Asia-Pacific region was essential for the achievement of global goals. While it was important to recognize the considerable achievements in regional MDG attainment, there was an urgent need to focus more clearly on inequality and disparities. In support of the future development agenda, Mr. Eliasson noted that there was a need for more reliable and disaggregated data as well as a clearer focus on climate change and disaster risk reduction.

6. Following the welcoming and opening addresses and during subsequent sessions the meeting agreed on the Bureau as follows:

Co-Chairs:

Kazakhstan:

H.E. Mr. Bektas Mukhametjanov, Vice-Minister of Environment and Water Resources of the Republic of Kazakhstan; and

Thailand:

Mrs. Kanchana Patarachoke, Deputy Director-General of the Department of International Organizations, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Thailand

Vice-Chairs:

Armenia:

H.E. Mr. Vahram KAZHOYAN, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Director of the Department of International Organizations, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Armenia, Secretary General of the Armenian National Commission for UNESCO, Head of the Inter-agency Working Group for Combating Trafficking in Human Beings

Bangladesh:

H.E. Mr Mofazzal Hossein Chowdhury Maya, Minister for the Ministry of Disaster Management and Relief

Japan:

H.E. Ms Tomoko Ukishima, Parliamentary Vice Minister of Environment

Sri Lanka:

H.E. Prof. Tissa Vitarana, Senior Minister for Scientific Affairs

Mongolia:

H.E. Ms. Oyun Sanjaasuren, Minister of Environment and Green Development

Nepal:

H.E. Dr. Som Lal Subedi, Vice Chair a.i., National Planning Commission

7. The meeting then adopted the following agenda:
 1. Opening of the meeting.
 2. Election of officers.
 3. Adoption of the agenda.
 4. Asia-Pacific perspectives on sustainable development, including sustainable development goals:
 - (a) Overview of sustainable development priorities and challenges, including presentations for the Annual Ministerial Review of the Economic and Social Council;
 - (b) Transformation for sustainable development: integration, shared prosperity within environmental limits and means of implementation.
 5. The way forward: shaping an effective and dynamic regional process for sustainable development.
 6. Other matters.
 7. Review of the Chair's summary.
 8. Closing of the Forum.

Overview session: Regional Perspectives on Achieving the Millennium Development Goals and Charting the Way for an Ambitious Post-2015 Development Agenda, Including the Sustainable Development Goals

8. In her opening presentation Ms. Akhtar restated that the region still had much to do to meet remaining MDGs commitments. While there was some level of convergence and common elements between the MDGs and SDGs, such as poverty eradication, there were also important differences, including subregional priorities. The identification of these differences, and their recognition at the global level was an important outcome for this meeting.

9. While it is recognized that economic growth is still important for the region, this growth needs to be more inclusive. The region's development also needs to be more resilient. The Asia-Pacific region faces the prospect of 'development at risk' from multiple shocks, especially natural disasters. These shocks have the potential to roll back development gains. Managing such risk should be seen as an investment in future development, rather than a cost. The region's population dynamics, whether represented by large youth populations, rural-urban transformations or rapidly ageing societies, provides important challenges. These must be better understood and responded to if countries are to take advantage of both the demographic dividend and minimize the challenges of rapid demographic transition. Finally, there is an urgent need to decouple growth from resource and carbon-intensive economic systems.

10. Ms. Akhtar further noted that while the region had achieved a great deal in terms of development goals, the fragility of these attainments should be recognized. While extreme poverty has been significantly reduced, a large number of people in the region still live on two dollars a day or less. Inequality in the region has also become more pronounced, and is widening alongside economic growth. The region's migrants, those earning a livelihood in the informal sector, youth and other marginalized groups were particularly vulnerable as they were least likely to be covered by social protection systems.

11. The region's economic transformation has not resulted in concurrent employment opportunities, for example, as exemplified by the continued dependence on the informal sector for employment creation – especially for women and youth. Despite high and enduring economic growth in the region, a number of inequalities persist, and in some instances have intensified, between women and men, girls and boys, between and within urban and rural areas, and among different caste, ethnicity, and language groups. Inequalities of income, opportunity and power are linked with disparities in access to productive assets and basic services. In particular, gender equality and women's empowerment are recognized prerequisites for sustainable development. Implementing nationally appropriate social protection measures and providing decent work for all is imperative to achieve sustainable and inclusive economic growth.

12. Ms. Akhtar highlighted the importance and opportunity for regional collaboration and transfer of knowledge. ESCAP had an important role to play in this regard. Greater regional connectivity, to overcome the negative impact of small domestic markets and geographic constraints, provides an important basis to achieve the post-2015 development agenda, including the SDGs. A clear regional roadmap on implementation of the post-2015 development agenda, including the Sustainable Development Goals, is needed, inclusive of means of implementation, as without this it would be impossible to stay on track in the achievement of future goals. Ms. Akhtar noted that an important objective of this meeting would be to define more clearly the future regional roadmap for supporting regional implementation of the post-2015 development agenda, including the SDGs, and inclusive of MOI and financing.

Session 1: Preparatory session for the 2014 Annual Ministerial Review of the Economic and Social Council: Addressing on-going and emerging challenges for meeting the Millennium Development Goals in 2015 and for sustaining development gains in the future

13. The preparatory session for the 2014 Annual Ministerial Review (AMR) of the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) on the theme “Addressing on-going and emerging challenges for meeting the Millennium Development Goals in 2015 and for sustaining development gains in the future” was organized as a high-level panel discussion to provide perspectives from the Asia-Pacific region, including from the region's least developed countries, landlocked developing countries and Small Island Developing States. The summary of the discussions is included in the Chair's Summary as Annex 1.

Session 2: Multi-stakeholder Roundtable on integration and transformation for sustainable development

14. H.E. Mr. Vahram Kazhoyan, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the Republic of Armenia, moderated the multi-stakeholder roundtable on integration and transformation for sustainable development.

The session reflected on the key requirements, opportunities for, and barriers to integrating the three dimensions of sustainable development as well as the systemic transformations, including in governance approaches, institutions, markets, and technologies needed to achieve sustainable development. The panel discussion recognized that lack of political will, political instability, and weak social cohesion; inequitable allocation of resources; and the institutional and socio-cultural impediments to women's economic and political participation are key systemic barriers to the integration of the three dimensions of sustainable development. Initiatives are already underway to address these barriers, most notably: the restructuring of planning commissions to manage integration and to adopt more bottom-up approaches; improving institutions to enable them to contribute to better social, environmental, and economic outcomes; and, adopting green growth strategies.

15. Mr. M. Javed Malik, Member, National Planning Commission of Pakistan highlighted the importance of: political will; consistency between policy and practice; balancing resource allocation across three dimensions of sustainable development as well as the importance of optimal and efficient use of resources; the role of civil society in advancing sustainable development; and the need for a periodic review of progress at global and national levels. Dr. Pham Hoang Mai, Director-General, Department of Science, Education, Natural Resources Environment, Ministry of Planning and Investment of Vietnam discussed that the Green Growth Strategy of Viet Nam was put in place as a way to restructure the economy toward a more efficient use of resources and increased competitiveness. The Strategy brings together under one umbrella different policy agendas, including climate change, poverty, and environmental sustainability. Ms. Setaita Tupua Kalou, Principal Assistant, Secretary (Political and Treaties) Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Fiji, informed that her Government last year adopted the Green Economy Plan and stressed that sustainable development in Small Island Developing States could not be achieved without addressing disaster risk reduction and resilience. Ms. Helen Hakena, Leitana Nehan, Director, Women's Development Agency, PNG, gave emphasis on the role of local communities in decision-making on development and in the control of their own development path as well as natural resources. In this regard, she highlighted the need of a new model based upon a fair distribution of resources and wealth, social and gender justice, and accountability. She called on governments to reconsider a separate goal for inequality in the OWG, and to commit to a new model of development.

16. Discussants highlighted additional key issues. Ms. Farida Akhter, Executive Director, UBINIG (Policy Research for Development Alternatives) noted the need to focus more strongly on redistributive justice and addressing underlying economic development structures. Mr. Nikhil Seth, Director, Division for Sustainable Development, UN DESA, noted that elements of transformation came out very strongly from the panel discussion, including the need to adopt a long-term perspective on decision making; shifting from palliatives to addressing structural causes; the need to provide development justice; strengthening solidarity, social justice and accountability to people; adopting a human-centered approach to development; and greater involvement of civil society in decision-making. He noted that the deep concern on inequality expressed by many panelists has been also recognized by the members of the Open Working Group on Sustainable Development Goals whose upcoming paper will propose equality as an additional focus area. Ms. Janneke van der Graaf-Kukler, Strategic Planning and Coordination Specialist, UN Women Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, added that implementing the Rio+20 outcome document entails ensuring that women are able to participate in decision-making platforms as decision makers and

leaders. She noted the importance of financing when discussing ways to address gender inequalities. She further underscored the importance of ensuring policymaking and implementation practices in all dimensions of sustainable development apply a gender lens.

17. In the open discussion which followed there was a call for a more ambitious set of targets for the post-2015 framework. Citing lessons learned from successful efforts to reduce the rate of HIV/AIDS infection in the Asia Pacific region, the representative from UNAIDS highlighted the importance of bold and inclusive leadership, backed by strong platforms for participation to end new HIV infections in the region by 2030, an ambitious target on “ending the AIDS epidemic”. Discussion also took place on the important issue of financing – especially beyond domestic and traditional sources. Partnerships and innovative financing mechanisms were deemed essential to ensure that the flow of financial resources is supportive to sustainable development. As such there is a need to incentivize investments in sustainable development to gain private sector traction on financing for sustainable development. In terms of strategies, participants further noted the need to strengthen capacities to monitor development outcomes. The need for new and better approaches to gender analysis, also at the community level including through the involvement of statisticians and academia, was highlighted. Civil society viewed new sources of financing such as public-private partnerships and south-south cooperation as complementary to, rather than a substitute for, traditional means of implementation, thus keeping the public sector at the centre of sustainable development financing. Financing can be raised through increased development assistance, progressive taxation, financial transaction taxes and the redirection of military spending to development. It was noted that remittance-based financing should be discouraged as it “distorted the reality” of migration as an indicator of underdevelopment and inequality in the global economic system, and encouraged the “export” of vulnerable workers.

Session Three: Multi-Stakeholder roundtable on shared prosperity within planetary boundaries

18. Mr. Rae Kwon Chung, Director, Environment and Development Division, ESCAP, moderated the multi-stakeholder roundtable on shared prosperity within planetary boundaries. The session deliberated on how to meet regional aspirations, with particular reference to poverty eradication; inequality; sustainable, dynamic, resilient and inclusive growth; and issues of social justice while recognizing planetary limits.

19. H.E. Mr. Bektas Mukhametjanov, Vice-Minister of Environment and Water Resources of the Republic of Kazakhstan highlighted the importance of knowledge sharing and exchange in coordinating solutions and initiatives to address environmental problems, noting that the Green Bridge Partnership Programme between Asia, the Pacific and Europe has made important contributions in this regard. Mr. Yogeeshvaran Kumaraguru, Deputy Director General of Economic, Planning Unit, Prime Minister’s Department of Malaysia, shared his country’s initiatives towards balancing development with utilization of resources, pursuing economic growth with equity, and taking a long-term perspective in developing investment and development plans. While effectively reducing poverty, Malaysia has also been able to successfully protect its environment, for example in enforcing a minimum forest cover requirement. Recognizing the key role of successful ocean management in enhancing the prosperity of the Pacific, Ms. Lorraine Kershaw, International Legal Adviser, Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat discussed the initiatives of Pacific SIDS in ensuring that this relationship was

recognized in global sustainable development debates, particularly with regard to Pacific SIDS advocacy for a stand-alone SDG on oceans and seas.

20. Following panelist presentations, several discussant remarks were made. Ms. Eni Lestari, Chairperson, International Migrant Alliance, reflected on her personal experience as a migrant worker to illustrate how forced migration and displacement, which result from disasters and environmental degradation, climate change, inequality and lack of economic opportunities, are undermining shared prosperity in the region. While remittances from migrant workers represent an important source of revenue for many countries the region, they should not be used as a source of financing for development. The human rights of migrants and the social costs of migration must be addressed to enable shared prosperity for all. In reflecting on the evolution of economic development and environment in Japan, Mr. Hideyuki Mori, President of the Institute of Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), noted that although priorities have changed over time, social development and environmental sustainability have always underpinned the sustained progress of Japan. Mr. Asif Ibrahim, Chairperson of the Taskforce on Inclusive and Sustainable Business of the ESCAP Business Advisory Council stated that strategies and approaches should be adopted to create employment while avoiding transgression of planetary boundaries. Mr. Kaveh Zahedi, Regional Director and Representative of UNEP in Asia and the Pacific noted that there remained a false dichotomy between development and the environment in much of the region. Mr. Zahedi noted that planetary boundaries were not just an environmental issue but about maintaining capacity of our planet to meet human needs and sustain economic growth. He observed that there were many positive lessons from which to draw from the region itself, but despite this the region is becoming less efficient in its use of resources and is rapidly eroding its natural resource base. Making the necessary transformation towards a green economy remained the biggest collective challenge.

21. In the open discussion which followed it was noted that employing strategic environmental assessment provided great potential to overcome the assumed dichotomy between economic growth and the environment in policymaking. There was a need to move the focus away from false trade-offs between environment and development. Lessons could be learned on integration from implementation by local governments and civil society in the region. The importance of technology transfer in avoiding environmental tipping points and in managing the adverse impacts of climate change was emphasized. Civil society representatives expressed agreement on the importance of technology transfer but cautioned that technology alone should not be regarded as a solution to the many development challenges the region faces and highlighted the importance of government support for local innovation. The involvement of communities in technology needs assessment, innovation, and technology transfer was highlighted as essential to success. Finally, civil society representatives challenged governments to be more ambitious in addressing climate change, and keeping global temperature rise to less than 1.5 degrees, committing to carbon emission reductions and providing adequate, predictable and appropriate climate finance on the basis of historical responsibility for climate change.

Session Four: Multi-Stakeholder Roundtable on means of implementation – Financing, Technology and Global partnerships

22. The roundtable was moderated by H.E. Prof. Tissa Vitarana, Senior Minister for Scientific Affairs, Sri Lanka. The roundtable focused on the key topics of means of implementation for sustainable development in particular financing, technology and global partnership. Prof. Vitarana highlighted the

Sri Lanka's positive experience of investing in promotion of science and technology, which has resulted in several U.S. registered patents that have paid off the investment.

23. Mr. Kang-hyeon Yun, Director-General, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Korea noted the varying technology needs between developed and developing countries and highlighted the importance of technologies that are pro-development, free from intellectual property rights and easily absorbed by developing partners. He also highlighted that development financed through ODA alone will not suffice, and that incentivizing business investment decisions in favor of sustainable development is needed to close the funding gap. Mr. Samuel Grundler noted that, as isolated small economies with an underdeveloped private sector and high dependence on imports, many Pacific SIDS face limits to economic development. He highlighted the need for the application of innovative technologies to shift away from inefficient and expensive resource use and called for the United Nations to provide assistance in the monitoring and reporting of partnerships for the post-2015 development agenda. Dr. Kanchana Wanichkorn, Director, Department of International Affairs of the National Science, Technology and Innovation Policy Office of Thailand, noted that science, technology and innovation (STI), including human resources and national innovation systems development for STI, should be considered an effective investment instead of expenditure for creating long term competitiveness, breaking away from the middle-income trap, and sustaining inclusive growth. Also highlighted was the need to create appropriate eco-system and institutional structures conducive to STI, including the establishment of an ESCAP Committee on STI to foster region wide dialogue and best practice sharing on STI for development.

24. Mr. Sang-Baek Chris Kang, Director for International Strategy, Green Technology Center of the Republic of Korea, shared key conclusions from the Regional Dialogue on Technology Facilitation for Sustainable Development. The event was organized in partnership with Green Technology Center Korea, and participated by academia and national institutes working in, and dedicated to, the area of technology facilitation. In noting its outcomes, Mr. Kang highlighted the call for regional and local technology facilitation mechanisms, and appropriate implementation based on experiences from the region. Institutions and frameworks with the aim of promoting development transfer and dissemination of technologies across countries do exist - and provided for many insights in technology facilitation. Science, technology and innovation have not been well-integrated in development agendas; science and technology should be placed to serve the poor and address pressing development challenges such as poverty alleviation, health and sanitation, food security. The dialogue echoed the calls for deepening integration of the local context, starting from need assessments for technologies to implementation. The role of partnerships between CSOs and governments and grassroots stakeholders was also emphasized in this context.

25. Mr. Antonio Tujan, Jr., Director, IBON International called for: redistributive justice for sustainable development through channeling ODA to investment in people instead of infrastructure; reform of trade, financial and monetary structures; climate finance architecture addressing the problem of external debt; and, effective and binding regulations for corporations across borders. Ms. Caitlin Wiesen, Chair of the UNDG Asia-Pacific Post-2015 Steering Committee and Regional Manager, UNDP/Asia-Pacific Regional Centre noted the importance of MOI in achieving the proposed sustainable development goals. She noted that the OWG had highlighted key dimensions for MOI: equitable multilateral trading and financial systems; financing for

development, especially with regards to SIDS and countries with special needs; the role of ODA, which is critical though insufficient; the enhanced role of the private sector; capacity development, particularly in data collection for informed policy making and improved management of SDGs; and, global partnerships for sustainable development. She highlighted that the important role of the United Nations in making adequate development financing available for countries, in monitoring development goals and holding regular consultations.

Session Five: The Way Forward: Shaping an effective and dynamic regional process for sustainable development

26. Ms. Shamshad Akhtar moderated the high level roundtable on the way forward. Ms. Setaita Tupua-Kalou, Principal Assistant Secretary International Cooperation (Political and Treaties), Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Fiji, underlined the need for coherence of national, regional and international processes setting the agenda for sustainable development, particularly recognizing the consensus driven process leading up the Third International Conference on Small Island Developing States. She also highlighted the need to strengthen institutional capacities in SIDS, especially in the use of ICT for data aggregation and analysis. H.E. Mr. Bektas Mukhametjanov, Vice-Minister of Environment and Water Resources, Kazakhstan, noted that the work of any organization has to be based on the work of the member states. He identified a clear role that ESCAP has to play in bringing together works under integration, monitoring and evaluation. H.E. Mr. Kazi Imtiaz Hossain stressed the importance of the regional processes and the role of the regional commissions, and emphasized the need for inclusive and action-oriented outcomes based on stakeholder participation. Resolution 67/290, he said, was a guiding document on regional architecture. He noted that the Commission sessions also have their own mandate and structure. A stand-alone meeting of the APFSD that would signal political commitment and ownership on the process was therefore preferred. The importance of a focus on the substance that the forum should carry forward and how it can integrate with the global processes most effectively was highlighted. H.E. Ms. Oyun Sanjaasuren Minister of Environment and Green Development in Mongolia highlighted the work done in Mongolia to promote a Green Development Strategy. She supported the idea of a roadmap for implementation that would support a regional agenda based on national and subregional priorities, with specific regional and subregional actions, including a monitoring and reporting framework. Such a roadmap should be developed in a fully inclusive and participatory manner. It would require capacity development for public, private and civil society organizations. In this respect the regional roadmap can clearly articulate the MOI. She supported the organization of the APFSD back-to-back with the ESCAP commission session, and the establishment of a committee on financing for sustainable development within ESCAP and the proposal of a Committee for STI, which could be deliberated at the subsequent Commission session. Dr. Mercedita Sombilla highlighted the need for a strong institutional framework and commitment to good governance and strong institutions. At the regional level, various United Nations bodies, particularly ESCAP – remain critical in effecting links between national governments and the global bodies regarding implementation. Other stakeholders are emerging, and a mechanism needs to be developed to enhance coherence of the various processes. Tools to measure and evaluate governance structures and constituencies are needed. Any review process should be simple and inclusive – and good practices exist on which this can be built. The HLPF could provide thought leadership by synthesizing and providing analysis on the reports, and a transparent and inclusive process was critical.

27. As a panel discussant, Mr. Kosala Wickramanayake, President of the International Business Council of Sri Lanka, noted that implementation of sustainable development would require political will to pass appropriate legislation and promote healthy economic performance, and that the business community is part of the solution. Voluntary annual monitoring and sharing of best practices was highlighted as an enabling mechanism. Mr. Uchita de Zoysa provided an overview of the evolution of the challenges to sustainability since 1992, and emphasized the need for a new model of leadership. The HLPF should provide a new architecture of political design and discourse, fundamentally founded on a conviction towards a great transformation, a transformation that is inclusive. He recommended the inaugural APFSD as a model for the HLPF in terms of civil society and other stakeholder participation. He shared that a regional civil society engagement mechanism has been developed and adopted by civil society organizations as a basis for further engagement. Mr. Lawrence Surendra stressed better and more synergetic coordination between the United Nations agencies and emphasized knowledge partnerships for more effective public-policy interventions towards sustainable development. The APFSD, he said, raised immediate questions of organization and agenda, including close linkages with Commissions sessions. Mr. Nikhil Seth outlined the key dimensions of the HLPF, including at the regional level. He recommended the regional process as a forum for engagement, for promoting solidarity and universality, strong science-policy interface and as a home for a regional accountability framework.

28. The discussions which followed supported subregional consultations, the sharing of best practices and full deliberation as the basis for a regional voice. Preferences for both a Chair's Summary and negotiated document, as outcome documents of the APFSD were expressed by different delegations. It was felt that the APFSD should be built largely on the basis of the organizational principles of the HLPF, as set out in GA resolution 67/290. It should be ambitious but pragmatic, and give political commitment and ownership over the process. It should be a forum for providing a regional voice on common priorities, sharing experiences among countries with proximity for qualitative impacts, engaging stakeholders, promoting solidarity and universality, promoting stronger science-policy interface, and promoting regional accountability mechanisms for all stakeholders.

29. Views were expressed in support of the APFSD as a stand-alone event and it was suggested that a subregional process could precede the APFSD. However, whether integrated or back to back with the Commission session, it was felt that such a decision requires further consultation. A subregional process could be held before the APFSD. A regional roadmap was viewed as important and could possibly link national, subregional, regional and global levels. It could be developed in a participatory way, after SDG adoption. Monitoring, evaluation and reporting mechanisms should be regular, inclusive and transparent process. In this regard, there is a need to establish indicators to measure achievements.

30. The role of ESCAP in enhancing synergies among subregions, highlighting the special contexts and needs of the subregions; providing an interface with the UN system for monitoring, evaluating, reporting; supporting regional cooperation and integration based on sustainable development; and providing more coherent policy advice with a possible new knowledge platform with academia and UN agencies, was noted. It was proposed that ESCAP establish a Committee on Science, Technology and Innovation, and a Committee on Financing for Sustainable Development.

Session Six: High-level roundtable on the rule of law in the post-2015 development agenda: A regional perspective from Asia and the Pacific

31. The panel was moderated by Dr. Shamshad Akhtar, who delivered opening remarks on behalf of the Deputy Secretary-General of the United Nations. The statement by the Deputy Secretary-General underlined the role of the rule of law and well-functioning institutions in the post-2015 development agenda, as acknowledged in the Declaration adopted by all 193 Member States at the 2012 High-level Meeting of the General Assembly on the rule of law. Tangible goods such as legal frameworks that give effect to human rights, independent and effective justice systems that provide access to justice, and mechanisms for holding public officers accountable are all encompassed by the concept of the Rule of Law. The Deputy Secretary-General underscored the important role that Regional Commissions can play in providing input to the global discussions to set the post-2015 development agenda.

32. Mr. Kamal Hossein, Former Minister of Law, Government of Bangladesh, highlighted the critical connection between law and development. He discussed the key elements of the rule of law highlighting sound legal frameworks and a proactive judiciary. He said experiences gathered in the last few decades show that sustainable development objectives cannot be effectively pursued without a governance framework that ensures transparency and accountability. He referred to the need to ensure that the laws are used to protect, liberate and empower. Ms. Lorraine Kershaw, International Legal Adviser, Pacific Islands, noted that given the regions, first-hand experience on the destabilizing impacts of the absence of rule of law, the Pacific has aligned itself with the advocacy for including a stand-alone goal on rule of law and peaceful and stable societies. She cited the experience of Solomon Islands in which the achievement of MDG7 is strongly attributed to the strengthening of the rule of law. She also provided examples of regional approaches in support of security and governance institutions. Dr. William Patton, Special Envoy to the International Development Law Organization, noted that at the international level, the rule of law and sustainable development are inseparable. The rule of law gives a stable platform to fight poverty and discrimination and sets standards for development interventions. The rule of law is also about delivering and providing recourse to justice as well as about establishing predictability. Citing specific country examples from the Asia Pacific region, he noted that while different countries have different priorities with respect to the rule of law, its values are universal. He said that it is important to tailor the SDGs to accommodate these national priorities without undermining the aim of setting common goals and targets. Finally he called for better measurements of law and justice. Ms. Pitikan Sithidej, Acting Director General of Rights and Liberties Protection, Ministry of Justice, Thailand, shared the view that rule of law and development are inter-related and mutually reinforcing and stressed that rule of law should be considered in the elaboration of the post-2015 development agenda. Ms. Sithidej shared the efforts of the ASEAN to strengthen the rule of law in the region by forging cooperation amongst law enforcement agencies to combat transnational crimes.

33. Following the panel discussion, discussants delivered their remarks. Mr. Haroon Sharif, Advisor, Regional Economic Cooperation (South Asia), World Bank, in a joint presentation with Mr. Ahmer Bilal Soofi, Advocate, Supreme Court of Pakistan, referred to the role of the judiciary in achieving transformations through their decisions. He also mentioned how a rule of law-based system requires that institutions are trusted by communities. Moving forward, he said that the governments and international community

should broadly engage constituencies who are starting to demand for stronger rule of law. He said efforts to define targets and indicators should be preceded by a reflection of what the international community intends to deliver on the ground. Ms. Kate Lappin, Executive Director, Asia-Pacific Forum on Women, Law and Development highlighted that the purpose of the rule of law is to establish enabling conditions for justice and to hold the powerful to account. Regarding the definition of targets and indicators, she highlighted the importance of incorporating a wide range of indicators that consider, among others, the degree of confidence women have in the legal system, their perceptions of safety, as well as indicators to measure access to justice.

34. One speaker stated that because rule of law cannot be measured, any attempts to bridge interpretation of the concept of sustainable development to rule of law will be counter-productive and will not contribute to the consensus. Instead, rule of law should be developed on the basis of local and cultural differences of each jurisdiction. Thus the notion that rule of law should be included as part of the global development agenda beyond 2015 could not be supported. One delegation did not support broad interpretation of the concept of sustainable development, that would introduce “inconsistent or contradictory” elements such as rule of law, peace and security, human security, individually or in the form of a so-called 4th dimensions of sustainable development” Civil society representatives said the rule of law should also ensure conflict resolution, prevent youth brain drain, and deliver development justice.

Formal statements

Overarching priorities and concerns regarding the post-2015 development agenda were identified by governments and other delegations

35. Participants generally agreed on the seven priority areas identified in the note by the secretariat titled *Fostering sustainable development in Asia and the Pacific*, namely eradicating poverty and narrowing inequality; pursuing sustained and inclusive economic growth; gender equality and empowerment; building resilience to multiple shocks; responding to population dynamics and urbanization; enhancing resource efficiency and natural resource management; deepening regional integration and connectivity, while recognising the sustainable development priorities of ESCAP subregions, and reflecting critical issues related to the Means of Implementation (MOI) inclusive of financing, science, technology and innovation, trade, capacity building, partnerships and governance for a transformation towards sustainable development.

36. Poverty eradication was identified as one of the most important overarching challenges of the region, in line with the global consensus to date. Several delegations stressed the need to ensure that economic growth was sustained and inclusive, and with benefits that are equitably distributed. This was important for job creation, poverty reduction and for maintaining socio-political stability. It was also highlighted that food security through sustainable agriculture formed a core element in overcoming poverty, generating income and promoting human capitals.

37. While looking to a future sustainable development goal framework, and an ambitious, balanced and development-oriented outcome to the negotiations on the post-2015 Development Agenda, country delegates called for a final push for MDG achievement, acknowledging both the achievements and shortcomings in progress in several areas. In this regard, the global importance attached to issues such as sustainable access to sustainable

modern energy services, provision of universal health coverage, provision of universal access to and safe and affordable drinking water, sanitation and hygiene, especially for women and girls, was also emphasized by delegates. At the same time, the issue of integrated water resources management emerged as a priority for the region to achieve sustainability and mitigate disaster risks. Facing the challenges of sustainable energy supply and energy trade, energy security had been the forefront work of the member countries of ESCAP. The spirit and best of the current MDGs should be carried forward, consistently addressed and further strengthened. The progress made in achieving the MDGs should also be evaluated, and priority attached to capacity building.

38. One delegation expressed concern regarding the mismatch between the ambitions for a multi-dimensional development agenda and the relatively low commitment to provide financial and technological support to developing countries. While acknowledging that peace, security and governance were important enablers of development, one delegation cautioned that these issues should not be placed at the centre of the development agenda.

Several issues that should be better addressed in the Open Working Group on Sustainable Development Goals were also identified

39. Issues identified by delegates that required stronger recognition in the Open Working Group on Sustainable Development Goals issues included the global economic and financial architecture, disaster risk reduction and preparedness and building resilience to disasters, as well as the need to address the digital divide.

40. A strong and stable global economic and financial architecture with full and meaningful participation of all countries and as an important public good was seen as an overarching component of the framework for any set of goals and objectives. Civil society called for accountability and reporting mechanisms for all stakeholders involved in sustainable development, including international and financial trade institutions, donor agencies and the private sector.

41. Asia and the Pacific, as the world's most disaster-prone region, needs to build resilience to natural disasters as an urgent priority. Developing countries in the region are already facing significant risks from adverse impacts of climate change in terms of persistent droughts, extreme weather events, massive flooding, among others. The meeting emphasized that frequent large-scale natural disasters could wipe-out hard earned development gains overnight. It was underscored that disaster risk reduction and preparedness is not being addressed sufficiently in the ongoing process of the Open Working Group of on Sustainable Development Goals. The meeting called for greater emphasis on disaster risk reduction in the post-2015 development agenda. The meeting underlined that governments should be encouraged and supported to mainstream disaster risk reduction into all sectors of development, with adequate legal, institutional and accountability frameworks. Improved disaster and climate change related statistics, including statistical standards on those topics for improved cross-country comparability was also highlighted as critical for better management of disaster-related risks. Regional and international cooperation were highlighted as crucial in strengthening governments' efforts in reducing disaster risks and building resilience.

42. Affordable access to information and communication technologies (ICTs), in particular broadband Internet was also recognized as a key means

of implementation for achieving sustainable development goals, and regional and international cooperation were highlighted as crucial aspects of enhancing connectivity throughout Asia and the Pacific. It was also recognized that Asia and the Pacific is the most digitally divided region in the world and that there was a need to urgently address the digital divide, through increased infrastructure investments and targeted policy reforms.

43. Other potential areas to be addressed by the SDGs included infrastructure-related issues such as sustainable transport. This was suggested as a standalone cluster in forging the list of SDGs, including special attention to be given to promoting road safety in the context of shaping SDGs as well as UNDA beyond 2015. Noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) was underlined as a vital element of future set of SDGs.

44. The establishment of measurable goals and targets that capture the multi-dimensional nature of sustainable development was underlined as a key challenge, as were the efforts needed to monitor progress towards related targets. Delegates stressed the need to take advantage of the opportunities presented by the data revolution for strengthened accountability and a strong monitoring framework.

45. The value of civil registration and vital statistics systems for planning development strategies and monitoring development outcomes was underscored. Furthermore, universal civil registration was recognized as a critical means for all people to have a legal identity and as such be able to participate actively in economic and political activities and access essential services.

46. Civil society participants recommended, inter-alia, a standalone goal on sustainable cities and human settlements, considering regional urbanization trends and the relevance in multiple dimensions of development, including several specific targets related to eliminating slum conditions, capacity for integrated urban planning, universal access to inclusive, safe and green public space, resilience to climate change and universal access to affordable, equitable, safe and sustainable transport. Governments and UN agencies were called on to articulate a universal definition of children, adolescents, and youth to ensure consistency across countries.

47. Civil society highlighted that the people-centered principle should also guide the means of implementation, such as in setting the direction of science, technology and innovations; and remove legal and policy barriers that hamper the response to further spread of HIV. Civil society endorsed a separate goal on addressing inequality consistent with the upcoming OWG paper adding a 17th goal on equality. Equality targets should also be incorporated across other goals and require disaggregated data in measuring progress towards meeting these goals. Stronger consideration of migration issues in OWG discussions was advocated, considering the strong linkage between migration and development in the Asia Pacific region and the need to address the plight of migrant workers and refugees.

48. In light of the trends related to informal labour and vulnerable employment, civil society called on member States to set ambitious targets to ensure equal and decent work opportunities, in particular supporting a focus on youth in the region. The need for consistent approach to the issues confronting vulnerable groups, including but not limited to women and children, indigenous peoples, farmers, fishers, and people living with HIV/AIDS.

49. UN system representatives called for, *inter-alia*:

1) appropriate targets within the post-2015 framework on fostering migration partnerships, increased well-being of migrants and their families and protection from exploitation and abuse, addressing human trafficking and lowering unnecessary exploitative costs and barriers of mobility and remittances;

2) an ambitious target framework on “ending the AIDS epidemic,” towards zero new infections; zero stigma and discrimination; and zero AIDS-related deaths;

3) a goal of full employment and decent work; and

4) strengthened attention to migration, and mainstreaming of migration into other focus areas such as health and population dynamics, climate change, sustainable cities and human settlements and global partnerships for sustainable development, as well as targets on migration relating to planned and well-managed migration; lowered costs and barriers to mobility and remittances; addressing trafficking, exploitation and abuse of migrants; ensuring access to education, health and decent work, reducing and minimizing the negative effects of forced migration and promoting global partnerships on migration.

50. One intergovernmental organization also called for a dedicated goal on water to reflect transboundary surface and groundwater challenges.

Key goals and principles for a post-2015 development agenda were highlighted

51. Among the goals and principles relevant to a post-2015 development agenda highlighted were universality, the balanced integration of the three dimensions of sustainable development, and people-centered development. Development approaches that are unique to the region were recognized, including the sufficiency economy philosophy. The pursuit of happiness was also presented as a basis for a vision for the Asia-Pacific, as a universal aspiration of mankind.

52. Several priority, people-focused dimensions of a post-2015 development agenda were noted, including the need for job creation, Universal Health Coverage, education for all, sustainable agriculture, integrated water resources management, food security and human security in the context of the threat of climate change and sustainable energy for all. The importance of human capital investments was also stressed.

53. Civil society urged the adoption of development justice in several dimensions, including redistributive justice to address imbalances in access to and distribution of wealth, resources, and power, highlighting alarming trends that weaken people’s control over resources, such as the commodification of genetic resources and land- grabbing. A human-rights based approach to the formulation of SDGs and its principles including non-discrimination, equality, participation, inclusion, accountability, and the rule of law was supported.

54. As globally, different views were expressed regarding the application of the principle of common but differentiated responsibility – while some delegations emphasized the application of this principle to all or many aspects of a new SDG framework and post-2015 development agenda, one delegation expressed the view that the principle should be applied only to global environmental issues. It was also noted that a universal agenda is not in contradiction with the principle of common but differentiated responsibility,

and that the mismatch in aspirations and lifestyles between developed and developing countries should be bridged by a principle of care and share.

Strategies for a post-2015 Development agenda were outlined

55. Strategies for implementation identified by member states included building a low-carbon society; resource productivity; education for sustainable development as an important dimension of education programmes; and green economy in the context of poverty eradication and sustainable development, including as a strategy to address inequality. The important role of sustainable transport, logistics and facilitation in the context of overall connectivity and its contribution to sustainable development was acknowledged.

56. Sustainable consumption and production was emphasized as a cross-cutting strategy, and strong support was expressed for the implementation of the 10-year Framework of Programmes on Sustainable Consumption and Production as a global cooperation framework. Related to this, the concept of a sound material cycle and the 3Rs (Reduce, Reuse, and Recycle) was also highlighted and it was recommended that sustainable industrialization and be proposed as one of the SDGs. One intergovernmental organization emphasized the importance of the nexus approach in addressing water, food and energy security.

57. Member states recalled important commitments and agreements related to sustainable development, such as the Aichi Targets under the Convention on Biological Diversity, references to means of implementation under the Johannesburg Plan of Implementation, the Monterrey Consensus, and the Doha Declaration on Financing for Development.

58. They also highlighted some important initiatives that would support strategy development and implementation: the outcomes from the Asian and Pacific Energy Forum 2013 and the Sustainable Energy for All initiative; The Satoyama Initiative; Hyogo Framework for Action (the successor framework of which would be adopted at the Third UN World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction in Sendai); and the upcoming review of the global efforts on Education for Sustainable Development in Nagoya City and Okayama City in November 2014; and the UN Compact. In Asia Pacific, the private sector had established the Sustainable Business Network through the ESCAP Business Advisory Council to tackle specific issues related to sustainable development.

59. Representatives of United Nations system organizations highlighted several strategic approaches, including, inter-alia, the concept of culture for development; and the strategic positioning of education, science and culture as drivers and enablers for a sustainable future. The need to address the linkages between increasingly diverse population dynamics, the environment and inequality was underlined, along with the urgency of focusing on investing in young people to reap the benefits of the “demographic dividend”. Sexual and reproductive health and rights should be secured. Inclusive and sustainable industrial development was highlighted as being critical to the effort to eradicate poverty and strongly linked to several other development priorities.

60. Key strategies in relation to ending hunger and making the transition to a sustainable agriculture and food system were identified as eradicating hunger and improving human nutrition, including, among other points, targeting smallholder producers and the landless and an emphasis on fair access to resources; sustainable consumption and production systems, including, among other points, reducing food losses and waste, investing in the whole food chain, promoting sustainable intensification across all food

production systems; and promoting climate-smart and energy-smart agriculture; and inclusive and effective governance, including an equitable distribution of transition costs and benefits.

61. The influence of climate change and natural disasters on human mobility was highlighted. Forced migration due to climate change should be minimized and migration is considered an adaptation strategy, it was asserted. The need to guarantee civic rights and enhance governance and accountability at all levels was emphasized and related to this, the need to address structural, legal and social barriers to accessing HIV and AIDS services by marginalized and key populations.

62. A long-term vision of a sustainable world was outlined. Such a vision was needed to inform and guide a “medium-term” post-2015 development agenda, and ensure that approaches and solutions proposed would seek transformational, rather than incremental change and outcomes. Information on the upcoming historic first session of the United Nations Environment Assembly, a new body that would place environmental issues at the heart of the global agenda, was shared. Meaningful participation that would ensure that the UNEA and the HLPF reflected the concerns, priorities and lessons from the Asia and the Pacific region, was urged. APFSD and ESCAP Commission session recommendations related to environmental issues could also inform discussions at the UN Environment Assembly.

Governments and other stakeholders provided several perspectives on the means of implementation as an important part of the post-2015 development agenda

63. There was support for a global emphasis on STI, innovative mobilization of resources and global partnership for sustainable development. It was noted that means of implementation and global partnership should be linked. Means of implementation should be equitably devoted to all three dimensions of sustainable development and progress should be quantifiable. The means of implementation should form part of each goal.

64. The means of implementation should match the ambition and comprehensive scope of the SDGs, and the ambitious means of implementation should be matched by its review system. A clear timeline and pathway of delivery is needed. A comprehensive monitoring framework and data/information enhancement consistent with the global call for a data revolution was suggested.

65. Implementation of each target, it was felt, should be based on the unique circumstances of each country and tailored in a way that is aligned with national economic strategies and priorities.

66. While some delegations focused on new and innovative mechanisms, including domestic resource mobilization, others stressed the role of official development assistance (ODA) and of meeting global commitments.

67. The reform of global governance was highlighted, including for giving real voice and participation to developing countries. Global partnerships should be equitable, inclusive with mutual accountability and fair sharing of responsibilities. Developed countries should undertake concrete and measurable obligations including to support the efforts of developing countries with enhanced financial and technological support as a part of CBDR. The need to strengthen coordination between relevant global and regional inter-governmental fora to promote sustainable development was noted.

68. Delegates recognized that trade, technology and finance are essential means of implementation of all SDGs. In this regard, a rule-based and equitable multilateral trading system that ensures effective market access of products and services was critical. The private sector called for an early conclusion of the Doha Round of multilateral trade negotiations, and underlined its role in leading regional integration through global and regional supply chains and production networks that provided opportunities for small and medium enterprises, to grow and prosper.

69. UN system representatives underlined the successes of an inclusive and partnership approach. Regional cooperation and south-south interactions were urged, to adapt to a changing development landscape. Transformational shifts in multiple sectors would require science, technology and innovation, and broad support for the view that education fulfills a much broader function in empowering children and adults alike to become active participants in their societies. The importance of disaggregated data was emphasized.

Side events

70. In addition to the plenary sessions, side events, titled “Launch of ESCAP partnership with Green Growth Best Practice Initiative” and “The Collective Way Forward of the HLPF: Regional CSO & stakeholders engagement for a more efficient, effective, coherent and integrated approach to sustainable development” were held on 20 May and 21 May respectively. ESCAP, FAO and UNEP co-hosted an evening reception on 20 May 2014 titled “Towards a green economy for sustainable development and poverty reduction: An Asia-Pacific perspective”.
